

**COURT-II**  
**IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY**  
**(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

**APPEAL NO. 46 OF 2019 &**  
**IA NO. 629 OF 2019**

**Dated : 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2019**

**Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member**  
**Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member**

**In the matter of:**

**Serum Institute of India Private Limited : ... Appellant (s)**  
**Versus**  
**Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory : ...Respondent (s)**  
**Commission & Anr.**

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Anand K. Ganesan  
Ms.Swapna Seshadri

Counsel for the Respondent (s) : Mr. G. Umapathy for R-2

**ORDER**  
**(IA No. 629 of 2019- Delay in filing reply)**

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

The learned counsel appearing for the second Respondent submitted that, there is a delay of 29 days in filing the reply which has been explained satisfactorily in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay may kindly be condoned.

Submissions of the learned counsel appearing for the second Respondent, as stated above, are placed on record.

In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the second Respondent and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in filing the reply, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the reply is condoned. IA, being IA No. 629 of 2019, for delay in filing the reply is allowed.

**APPEAL NO. 46 OF 2019**

The learned counsel appearing for the Appellant prays for two weeks' time to file rejoinder.

The submission of the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant, as stated above, is placed on record.

The learned counsel appearing for the Appellant is permitted to file rejoinder on or before 13.05.2019 after duly serving copy to the other side,

List the matter on **27.05.2019**.

**(Ravindra Kumar Verma)**  
**Technical Member**  
mk

**(Justice N.K. Patil)**  
**Judicial Member**